

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

TON NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/765,606	01/22/2001	Hiroshi Nojiri	202135US0	9738
OBLON, SPI	TREET	, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	EXAMINER WELLS, LAUREN Q	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314	11, 111 2221		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			DATE MAILED: 03/19/2003	3
		\		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	
A alcala a casa A a 4 la ca	09/765,606	NOJIRI ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lauren Q Wells	1617	
The MAILING DATE fthis communication appe	ars on the c ver sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 10 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	HIS APPLICATION IN CONDITI roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ON FOR ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply to a	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context of the c	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth atter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 10 March 2003. Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	• •		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· · · —		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,9,10,12 and 13</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8,11 and 14	<u>4</u> .		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)∏ approved or b)∏ disapp	roved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer 10. Other:	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Malmonther SREENI PADMANABHAN	
		PRIMARY EXAMINER 3/17/6	

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Applicati n No. 009/765,606

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the changed scope of the instant independent claim will require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: a) the 35 USC 102 and 103 rejections are maintained for reasons of record in the Office Action mailed 8/14/02, Paper No. 9; b) Applicant's arguments are directed toward the Amendment that is not being entered. Thus, Applicant's arguments are moot..